UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION

DAVID TYRONE HILL, Plaintiff,

Case No. 1:15-cv-762

VS

Beckwith, J. Litkovitz, M.J.

OHIO DEPARTMENT OF REHABILITATION AND CORRECTION, et al., Defendants. REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Plaintiff has filed a complaint and an amended complaint under 42 U.S.C. §1983 in this Court. (Doc. 1, 2). On December 17, 2015, the undersigned issued a Deficiency Order, ordering that plaintiff pay the \$400 dollar filing fee or submit to the Court a completed *in forma pauperis* application and certified copy of his prison trust fund account statement within thirty (30) days. (Doc. 3). Plaintiff was advised that if he failed to comply with the Order this case would be dismissed. (*Id.* at PageID 21).

To date, more than thirty (30) days after the Court's December 17, 2015 Order, plaintiff has failed to pay the filing fee or submit an *in forma pauperis* application. Plaintiff has filed a Declaration of Exhaustion of State Court Remedies and a Motion to Amend 4 Sleets Nude Photo, both of which are not responsive to the Court's December 17, 2015 Deficiency Order. (*See* Doc. 4, 5).

"District courts have the inherent power to sua sponte dismiss civil actions for want of prosecution to manage their own affairs so as to achieve the orderly and expeditious disposition of cases." *Link v. Wabash* R.R., 370 U.S. 626, 630-631 (1962). *See also Jourdan v. Jabe*, 951 F.2d 108, 109 (6th Cir. 1991). Failure of a party to respond to an order of the court warrants

invocation of the Court's inherent power. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b). Accordingly, this case should be dismissed for plaintiff's failure to pay the Court's \$400.00 filing fee or submit a completed *in forma pauperis* application. *In re Alea*, 286 F.3d at 382.

It is therefore **RECOMMENDED** that this matter be **DISMISSED** for lack of prosecution.

IT IS SO RECOMMENDED.

Date: //20/2016

Karen L. Litkovitz

United States Magistrate Judge

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION

DAVID TYRONE HILL, Plaintiff,

Case No. 1:15-cv-762

VS

Beckwith, J. Litkovitz, M.J.

OHIO DEPARTMENT OF REHABILITATION AND CORRECTION, et al., Defendants.

NOTICE

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b), WITHIN 14 DAYS after being served with a copy of the recommended disposition, a party may serve and file specific written objections to the proposed findings and recommendations. This period may be extended further by the Court on timely motion for an extension. Such objections shall specify the portions of the Report objected to and shall be accompanied by a memorandum of law in support of the objections. If the Report and Recommendation is based in whole or in part upon matters occurring on the record at an oral hearing, the objecting party shall promptly arrange for the transcription of the record, or such portions of it as all parties may agree upon, or the Magistrate Judge deems sufficient, unless the assigned District Judge otherwise directs. A party may respond to another party's objections WITHIN 14 DAYS after being served with a copy thereof. Failure to make objections in accordance with this procedure may forfeit rights on appeal. *See Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140 (1985); *United States v. Walters*, 638 F.2d 947 (6th Cir. 1981).